

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/518,583	-	03/03/2000	Chee-Seng Chow	004701.P001	5843	
826	7590	02/28/2005		EXAMINER		
	N & BII		TRAN, ELLEN C			
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		NC 28280-4000		2134		
				DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	Į N
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	09/518,583	CHOW ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ellen C Tran	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) \square objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat brity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/518,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication: amendment filed on 26 August 2004, with an original filing date of 3 March 2000 and acknowledgement of continuing filing date of 5 March 1999.

2. Claims 1-22 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 11, 21, and 22 are independent claims.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7-14, 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Win et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,453,353 (hereinafter '353).

As to independent claim 1, "A method of performing multiple user authentications with a single sign-on, comprising: performing a first user authentication; selecting a remote server subsequent to said first authentication" is taught in '353 col. 5, line 65 through col. 6, line 16;

Art Unit: 2134

"sending a token to said remote server containing authentication information responsive to said first authentication, wherein the token also contains information regarding an account for the user including, at least one of a new account for the user and an update to an existing account for the user; and decoding said authentication information, wherein said decoding said authentication information induces a second user authentication" is shown in '353 col. 6, lines 58-65.

As to dependent claim 2, "wherein said sending includes sending said token within a universal resource locator" is disclosed in '353 col. 6, lines 58-65.

As to dependent claim 3, "wherein said token includes a timestamp" is taught in '353 col. 6, lines 47-53.

As to dependent claim 4, "wherein said token is encrypted" is shown in '353 col. 6, lines 58-65.

As to dependent claim 7, "wherein the information regarding an account for the user in said token includes user profile update information" is taught in '353 col. 11, lines 21-32.

As to dependent claim 8, "wherein said remote server updates a user profile in response to said user profile update information" taught in '353 col. 11, lines 21-32

As to dependent claim 9, "wherein said first user authentication occurs within an Intranet" is shown in '353 col. 4, lines 50-67.

As to dependent claim 10, "wherein said second user authentication occurs within said remote server" is disclosed in '353 col. 21, lines 8-28 and col. 17, lines 27-37

Art Unit: 2134

As to independent claim 11, this claim is directed to a system implementing the method of claim 1 and it is rejected along similar rationale.

As to dependent claims 12-14 and 17-20, these claims contain substantially similar subject matter as claims 2-4 and 7-10; therefore they are rejected along similar rationale

As to independent claim 21, this claims is directed to a system implementing the method of claim 1 and is rejected along similar rationale.

As to independent claim 22, this claim is directed to a machine-readable medium of the method of claim 1 and is rejected along similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5, 6, 15 and 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '353 in further view of Anderson et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,144,959 (hereinafter '959).

As to dependent claim 5, the following is not taught in '353 "wherein the information regarding an account for the user in said token includes a new user flag" however '959 teaches "The Win32 API includes a number of functions for performing operations with credential information. The Win32 API includes the NetUserAdd function used to create a new user on a local Windows NT workstation. The NetUserAdd function accepts a number of parameters. A first parameter specifies in which domain a user account is created. When the value of the parameter supplied by

a program is null, the NetUserAdd function creates a user on the local workstation within the local access database 203. Another parameter includes a number of sub-parameters such as username and password, wherein the username is the name of a new user to be created and the password is a password to be assigned to the new user" in col. 7, line 62 through col. 8, line 23.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify a method of performing multiple user authentication taught in '752 to include a means where the system creates new user accounts. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to permit an administrator to manage user accounts see '959 col. 4, lines 20 et seq. "The invention includes a system for authenticating a user to multiple systems. In addition, the system authenticates to a client workstation in a manner transparent to the user, and generates a user account on the client workstation if the user account does not exist. Further, the system provides a workstation object to a directory services database, permitting a network administrator to efficiently manage client workstation accounts".

As to dependent claim 6, "wherein said remote server creates a new user account in response to said new user flag" is shown in '959 col. 7, line 62 through col. 8, line 23.

As to dependent claims 15 and 16, these claims contain substantially subject matter as claims 5 and 6; therefore they are rejected along similar rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/518,583 Page 6

Art Unit: 2134

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen C Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3842. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ellen Tran
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2134
7 February 2005

Lg OM